



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/234,208 01/20/1999		JONI KRISTIN DOHERTY	49321-1	6406
22504	7590 03/11/2003		,	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642 DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary		09/234,208	DOHERTY ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
		The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Anne Holleran	1642				
F	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
9	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 November 2002</u> .							
	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,18-20,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-10</u> is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are rejected.							
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,20,27 and 28</u> is/are objected to.							
Ι_Δ	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
^	Application Papers  O) The specification is objected to but the Functions							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
4	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
1	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
1)	Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>22</u> . al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. P	atent and Trac -326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 25				

Application/Control Number: 09/234,208

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed Nov. 22, 2002 is acknowledged. Claims 21 and 22 (renumbered 27 and 28, per 37 CFR 1.126) were added.

Claims 1-3, 8-10, 18-20, 27 and 28 are pending and examined on the merits.

- 2. The declarations Dr. Edward Neuwelt and Dr. Gail M. Clinton have been considered.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections Withdrawn:

- 4. The rejection of claims 3 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendment deleting the phrase "at least in part distinct".
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-3, 8-10 and 18-20 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification is not commensurate in scope with the scope of the claimed inventions, is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments and the amendment to claims 3 and 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/234,208

Art Unit: 1642

6. The rejection of claims 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement, is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments and in view of the declarations of Dr. Edward Neuwelt and Dr. Gail M. Clinton.

## New Grounds of Rejection:

- Claims 27 and 28 are objected to because they appear to be of exactly the same scope as claims 3 and 10. Claims 27 and 3 are both drawn to polypeptides that consist of SEQ ID NO: 1. Claims 28 and 10 are both drawn to polypeptides that consist of SEQ ID NO: 2. Claims 3 and 10 are dependent from claims 1 and 8, respectively. However, this dependency does not result in scope that is different from that of claims 27 and 28, respectively, because the recitation of affinity binding constant is a recitation of an inherent feature of the claimed species of polypeptide.
- 8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hudziak (U.S. Patent 6,399,063; issued June 4, 2002; effective filing date Jan. 25, 1988).

Claim 18 recites pharmaceutical compositions that comprise an agent that is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the extracellular domain of Her-2 in combination with at least a second agent. Hudziak discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising an antibody to Her-2 and a second agent, such as a cytokine (TNF-alpha, TNF-beta, IL-2, IL-2, Interferon-gamma; see col. 7, lines 3 – 61; claims 8-13). Thus, Hudziak discloses the claimed pharmaceutical compositions.

Art Unit: 1642

Page 4

## Conclusion

Claims 1-3, 8-10 are allowed. Claims 19, 20, 27 and 28 are objected to. Claim 18 is rejected. Claims 19 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten as independent claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Anne Holleran, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-8892. Examiner Holleran can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached at (703) 308-3995.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner March 10, 2003

EE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800